



Bilag 6 – Metaanalyser og risk of bias vurderinger

NKA for non-farmakologisk behandling hos voksne med ADHD

Indhold


PICO 1 Metaanalyser

PICO 1 Risk of bias


PICO 1 Metaanalyser

1 PICO 1 - Medicin + non-farmakologiske interventioner vs waitlist/TAU - Primæranalyser


1.1 Funktionsniveau - observatørvurderet - behandlingsafslutning - SMD




1.2 Funktionsniveau - observatørvurderet - behandlingsafslutning - CGI severity



1.3 Funktionsniveau - observatørvurderet - længste opfølgning - SMD




1.4 Funktionsniveau - observatørvurderet - længste opfølgning - CGI severity



Footnotes

- (1) CGI severity, 3 måneders opfølgning
- (2) CGI severity - 8 måneders opfølgning
- (3) CGI severity - 3 måneders opfølgning
- (4) CGI severity - 52 ugers opfølgning
- (5) CGI severity - 3 måneders opfølgning

1.5 Funktionsniveau - selvurderet - behandlingsafslutning - SMD



Footnotes

- (1) Work and Social Adjustment Scale (WSAS). Skala 0-8, lower is better.
(2) BRIEF-A = Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function-Adult Version, skala 1-3. 75 item, lower is better
(3) Canadian Occupational Performance Measure (COPM), range: 1-10, higher is better (derfor -)
(4) BRIEF-A Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function - Adult Version, total sum score, lower is better

- (5) BRIEF-A = Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Functioning—Adult Version, range 1-3, lower is better
(6) BRIEF-ASR = Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function-Adult Self-Report Version, lower is better
(7) BDEFS-SF: Barkley Deficits in Executive Functioning Scale - short form, range 20-80, lower is better
(8) BDEFS-SF = Barkley Deficits in Executive Functioning Scale-short form, lower is better

- (E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)
(F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)
(G) Other bias


1.6 Funktionsniveau - selvurderet - længste opfølgning

Study or Subgroup	Non-farm. interventioner		Waitlist/TAU		Weight	Mean Difference		Mean Difference	Risk of Bias						
	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1.6.1 Kognitiv adfærdsterapi															
Dittner 2018 (1)	10.2	6.9	27	16.8	8.8	18 100.0%	-6.60 [-11.43, -1.77]								
Subtotal (95% CI)			27			18 100.0%	-6.60 [-11.43, -1.77]								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable															
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.68 (P = 0.007)															
Total (95% CI)			27			18 100.0%	-6.60 [-11.43, -1.77]								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable															
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.68 (P = 0.007)															
Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable															


Footnotes

- (1) Work and Social Adjustment Scale (WSAS). Skala 0-8 på 5 items. Lower is better. 43 ugers opfølgning.
Risk of bias legend
(A) Random sequence generation (selection bias)
(B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)
(C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)
(D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)
(E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)
(F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)
(G) Other bias


1.7 Alvorlige hændelser - længste opfølgning



1.8 Livskvalitet - behandlingsafslutning - SMD




1.9 ADHD symptomer - observatørvurderet - behandlingsafslutning - SMD




Test for overall effect: $Z = 3.94$ ($P < 0.0001$)
Test for subgroup differences: $\text{Chi}^2 = 35.35$, $\text{df} = 5$ ($P < 0.00001$), $I^2 = 85.9\%$


Footnotes

(1) Adult Barkley Current Symptoms Scale (CSS). Skala 0-3. totalscore max 54 point. Lower is better
(2) The Barkley ADHD Current Symptoms Scale (BCS), 0-54. lower is better
(3) Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale version 1.1 (ASRS-v1.1), range: 0-72, lower is better
(4) ADHD rating scale, Range 0-54 Lower is better
(5) ASRS Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale, total sum score, 0-72, lower is better
(6) CAARS (long version) total score, range: 0-198, lower is better
(7) CAARS index = Conners' Adult ADHD Self-Rating Scale, ADHD index, lower is better
(8) Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale version 1.1 (ASRS-v1.1), range: 0-72, lower is better
(9) CAARS investigator rating version, lower is better
(10) 10-point category scale based on the DSM IV diagnostic criteria for ADHD, range? Lower is better




1.10 ADHD symptomer - selvvurderet - behandlingsafslutning - SMD







1.11 ADHD symptom - uopmærksomhed - observatørvurderet - behandlingsafslutning - SMD




1.12 ADHD symptom - uopmærksomhed - selvvrurderet - behandlingsafslutning - SMD




1.13 ADHD symptom - hyperaktiv impulsivitet - observatørvurderet - behandlingsafslutning




1.14 ADHD symptom - hyperaktiv impulsivitet - selvvurderet - behandlingsafslutning



1.15 Frafald - behandlingsafslutning






1.16 Misbrug og afhængighed - længste opfølgning (0 RCT)

Study or Subgroup	Non-farm. interventioner		Waitlist/TAU		Total Weight	Mean Difference		Risk of Bias						
	Mean	SD	Total	Mean		IV, Random	95% CI	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Total (95% CI)			0		0									
Heterogeneity:	Not applicable													
Test for overall effect:	Not applicable													

Risk of bias legend

- (A) Random sequence generation (selection bias)
- (B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)
- (C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)
- (D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)
- (E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)
- (F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)
- (G) Other bias



1.17 Fravær fra arbejde - behandlingsafslutning (0 RCT)

Study or Subgroup	Non-farm. interventioner		Waitlist/TAU		Total Weight	Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias						
	Events	Total	Events	Total		M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% CI		A	B	C	D	E	F	G

Total (95% CI)


Total events

Heterogeneity: Not applicable

Test for overall effect: Not applicable

Risk of bias legend

- (A) Random sequence generation (selection bias)
- (B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)
- (C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)
- (D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)
- (E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)
- (F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)
- (G) Other bias



1.18 Kriminalitet - længste opfølgning (0 RCT)

Study or Subgroup	Non-farm. interventioner		Waitlist/TAU		Total Weight	Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio		Risk of Bias						
	Events	Total	Events	Total		M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% CI	A	B	C	D	E	F	G

Total (95% CI)

Total events

Heterogeneity: Not applicable

Test for overall effect: Not applicable

Risk of bias legend

- (A) Random sequence generation (selection bias)
- (B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)
- (C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)
- (D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)
- (E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)
- (F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)
- (G) Other bias



1.19 Ophor af medicinsk behandling - behandlingsafslutning

Study or Subgroup	Non-farm. intervention		Waitlist/TAU		Total Weight	Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI	Risk of Bias						
	Events	Total	Events	Total		M-H, Random, 95% CI	95% CI		A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Pan 2022	0	49	1	49	100.0%	0.33	[0.01, 7.99]								
Total (95% CI)		49		49	100.0%	0.33	[0.01, 7.99]								
Total events	0		1												
Heterogeneity: Not applicable															
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.68 (P = 0.50)															

Risk of bias legend

- (A) Random sequence generation (selection bias)
- (B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)
- (C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)
- (D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)
- (E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)
- (F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)
- (G) Other bias



1.20 Ophør af medicinsk behandling - længste follow-up

Study or Subgroup	Non-farm. interventioner		Waitlist/TAU		Total Weight	Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias						
	Events	Total	Events	Total		M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% CI		A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Pan 2022	5	49	2	49	100.0%		2.50 [0.51, 12.28]								
Total (95% CI)		49		49	100.0%		2.50 [0.51, 12.28]								
Total events	5		2												
Heterogeneity: Not applicable															
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.13 (P = 0.26)															

Risk of bias legend


- (A) Random sequence generation (selection bias)
- (B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)
- (C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)
- (D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)
- (E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)
- (F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)
- (G) Other bias




2 PICO 2 - Ingen medicin + non-farmakologiske interventioner vs waitlist/TAU (uden medicin) (0 RCT)

1 PICO 1 - Medicin + non-farmakologiske interventioner vs waitlist/TAU - Sensitivitetsanalyser


1.1 Funktionsniveau - observatørvurderet - behandlingsafslutning - SMD




1.2 Funktionsniveau - observatørvurderet - behandlingsafslutning - CGI severity




1.3 Funktionsniveau - observatørvurderet - længste opfølgning - SMD



1.4 Funktionsniveau - observatørvurderet - længste opfølgning - CGI severity



1.5 Funktionsniveau - selvvrderet - behandlingsafslutning - SMD



Footnotes

- (1) Work and Social Adjustment Scale (WSAS). Skala 0-8, lower is better.
(2) BRIEF-A = Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function-Adult Version, skala 1-3. 75 item, lower is better
(3) Canadian Occupational Performance Measure (COPM), range: 1-10, higher is better (derfor -)
(4) BRIEF-A Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function - Adult Version, total sum score, lower is better

- (5) BRIEF-A = Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Functioning—Adult Version, range 1-3, lower is better
(6) BRIEF-ASR = Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function-Adult Self-Report Version, lower is better
(7) BDEFS-SF: Barkley Deficits in Executive Functioning Scale - short form, range 20-80, lower is better
(8) BDEFS-SF = Barkley Deficits in Executive Functioning Scale-short form, lower is better


- (E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)
(F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)
(G) Other bias

1.6 Funktionsniveau - selvurderet - længste opfølgning

Study or Subgroup	Non-farm. interventioner		Waitlist/TAU		Total Weight	Mean Difference		Mean Difference	Risk of Bias						
	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1.6.1 Kognitiv adfærdsterapi															
Dittner 2018 (1)	10.2	6.9	27	16.8	8.8	18	0.0%	-6.60 [-11.43, -1.77]							
Subtotal (95% CI)			0			0		Not estimable							
Heterogeneity: Not applicable															
Test for overall effect: Not applicable															
Total (95% CI)															
Heterogeneity: Not applicable															
Test for overall effect: Not applicable															
Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable															


Footnotes

- (1) Work and Social Adjustment Scale (WSAS). Skala 0-8 på 5 items. Lower is better. 43 ugers opfølgning
(A) Random sequence generation (selection bias)
(B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)
(C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)
(D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)
(E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)
(F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)
(G) Other bias




1.7 Alvorlige hændelser - længste opfølgning

Study or Subgroup	Non-farm. interventioner		Waitlist/TAU		Risk Difference		Risk of Bias	
	Events	Total	Events	Total	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% CI	A	B C D E F G
1.7.1 Kognitiv adfærdsterapi								
Dittner 2018 (1)	2	30	0	30				
Subtotal (95% CI)	0	0	0	0	Not estimable			
Total events	0		0		Not estimable			
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Not applicable								
1.7.2 Dialektisk adfærdsterapi								
Halmoy 2022	0	60	0	61	0.00 [-0.03, 0.03]			
Subtotal (95% CI)		60		61	0.00 [-0.03, 0.03]			
Total events	0		0					
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.00 (P = 1.00)								
Total (95% CI)		60		61	0.00 [-0.03, 0.03]			
Total events	0		0					
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.00 (P = 1.00)								
Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable								
Footnotes								
(1) Beskrivet som "SAE definitely not related to treatment"								



1.8 Livskvalitet - behandlingsafslutning - SMD



1.9 ADHD symptomer - observatørvurderet - behandlingsafslutning - SMD


Study or Subgroup	Non-farm. interventioner		Waitlist/TAU		Weight	Std. Mean Difference		Risk of Bias						
	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	IV, Random, 95% CI	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1.9.1 Kognitiv adfærdsterapi														
Dittner 2018 (1)	21.3	10.6	27	32.6	7.8	19	Not estimable							
Emilsson 2011 (2)	17.22	7.62	18	23.47	8.8	17	-0.74 [-1.43, -0.06]							
Nasri (CBT) 2023 (3)	41	12.4	22	45.7	9.55	14	-0.40 [-1.08, 0.27]							
Safren 2005 (4)	15.19	7.12	16	20.8	10.84	15	-0.60 [-1.32, 0.12]							
Subtotal (95% CI)			56			46	-0.58 [-0.98, -0.18]							
Heterogeneity: $\text{Tau}^2 = 0.00$; $\text{Chi}^2 = 0.48$, $\text{df} = 2$ ($P = 0.79$); $I^2 = 0\%$														
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.83$ ($P = 0.005$)														
1.9.2 Dialektisk adfærdsterapi														
Halmoy 2022 (5)	38.7	9	49	45.4	9.3	47	-0.73 [-1.14, -0.31]							
Subtotal (95% CI)			49			47	-0.73 [-1.14, -0.31]							
Heterogeneity: Not applicable														
Test for overall effect: $Z = 3.44$ ($P = 0.0006$)														
1.9.3 Dialektisk adfærdsterapi/kognitiv adfærdsterapi														
Philipson (methylphenidat) 2015 (6)	84.3	27.8	91	79.9	31.5	95	0.15 [-0.14, 0.44]							
Subtotal (95% CI)			91			95	0.15 [-0.14, 0.44]							
Heterogeneity: Not applicable														
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.00$ ($P = 0.32$)														
1.9.4 Mindfulness														
Gu 2018 (7)	60.71	8.35	28	71.77	9.17	26	-1.25 [-1.83, -0.66]							
Nasri (relaxation) (2023) (8)	40.5	8.5	23	45.7	9.55	14	-0.57 [-1.25, 0.11]							
Subtotal (95% CI)			51			40	-0.93 [-1.59, -0.27]							
Heterogeneity: $\text{Tau}^2 = 0.12$; $\text{Chi}^2 = 2.17$, $\text{df} = 1$ ($P = 0.14$); $I^2 = 54\%$														
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.77$ ($P = 0.006$)														
1.9.5 Mindfulness-baseret kognitiv terapi														
Hepark 2019 (9)	21.5	7.7	41	28	7.5	42	-0.85 [-1.30, -0.40]							
Subtotal (95% CI)			41			42	-0.85 [-1.30, -0.40]							
Heterogeneity: Not applicable														
Test for overall effect: $Z = 3.69$ ($P = 0.0002$)														
1.9.6 Kognitiv træning/psykosociale interventioner														
Stevenson 2003 (10)	73.7	26.7	17	112.9	18	18	-1.69 [-2.48, -0.91]							
Subtotal (95% CI)			17			18	-1.69 [-2.48, -0.91]							
Heterogeneity: Not applicable														
Test for overall effect: $Z = 4.22$ ($P < 0.0001$)														
Total (95% CI)			305			288	-0.71 [-1.10, -0.32]							
Heterogeneity: $\text{Tau}^2 = 0.27$; $\text{Chi}^2 = 38.58$, $\text{df} = 8$ ($P < 0.00001$); $I^2 = 79\%$														

Test for overall effect: $Z = 3.53$ ($P = 0.0004$)
Test for subgroup differences: $\text{Chi}^2 = 33.29$, $\text{df} = 5$ ($P < 0.00001$), $I^2 = 85.0\%$


Footnotes

- (1) Adult Barkley Current Symptoms Scale (CSS). Skala 0-3. totalscore max 54 point. Lower is better
- (2) The Barkley ADHD Current Symptoms Scale (BCS), 0-54. lower is better
- (3) Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale version 1.1 (ASRS-v1.1), range: 0-72, lower is better
- (4) ADHD rating scale, Range 0-54 Lower is better
- (5) ASRS Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale, total sum score, 0-72, lower is better
- (6) CAARS (long version) total score, range: 0-198, lower is better
- (7) CAARS index = Conners' Adult ADHD Self-Rating Scale, ADHD index, lower is better
- (8) Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale version 1.1 (ASRS-v1.1), range: 0-72, lower is better
- (9) CAARS investigator rating version, lower is better
- (10) 10-point category scale based on the DSM IV diagnostic criteria for ADHD, range? Lower is better

1.10 ADHD symptomer - selvvurderet - behandlingsafslutning - SMD




Total (95% CI)	335	328	100.0%	-0.32 [-0.56, -0.09]	
Heterogeneity: $\text{Tau}^2 = 0.07$; $\text{Chi}^2 = 19.54$, $\text{df} = 10$ ($P = 0.03$); $I^2 = 49\%$					
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.70$ ($P = 0.007$)					
Test for subgroup differences: $\text{Chi}^2 = 11.50$, $\text{df} = 5$ ($P = 0.04$), $I^2 = 56.5\%$					
Footnotes					
(1) ADHD-Rating Scale (ADHD-RS), (18 item), range: 0-3, lower is better					
(2) ADHD-Rating Scale, lower is better					
(3) Current symptoms scale (CSS), lower is better					
(4) ADHD rating scale, Range 0-54, lower is better					
(5) ASRS - World Health Organization's Adult ADHD Self-report Scale, total score, lower is better					
(6) ADHD Self Rated Scale (ASRS), lower is better					
(7) CAARS (long version) total score, range: 0-198, lower is better					
(8) CAARS self-report version, lower is better					
(9) ASRS - World Health Organization's Adult ADHD Self-report Scale, total score, lower is better					
(10) Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale (ASRS), lower is better					
(11) Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale (ASRS), range: 0-72, lower is better					
(12) ADHD Self-Report Scale (ASRS), 18-item, lower is better					




Risk of bias legend

- (A) Random sequence generation (selection bias)
- (B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)
- (C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)
- (D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)
- (E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)
- (F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)
- (G) Other bias


1.11 ADHD symptom - uopmærksomhed - observatørvurderet - behandlingsafslutning - SMD




1.12 ADHD symptom - uopmærksomhed - selvvurderet - behandlingsafslutning - SMD



1.13 ADHD symptom - hyperaktiv impulsivitet - observatørvurderet - behandlingsafslutning




1.14 ADHD symptom - hyperaktiv impulsivitet - selvvurderet - behandlingsafslutning




Footnotes

- (1) The ADHD Rating Scale-impulsive/hyperactive (ADHD-RS-Impulsive/Hyperactive), lower is better
(2) Barkley Adult ADHD Rating Scale-IV (BAARS-IV): Hyperactive impulsive, range 0-27, lower is better

1.15 Frafald - behandlingsafslutning






1.16 Misbrug og afhængighed - længste opfølgning (0 RCT)

Study or Subgroup	Non-farm. interventioner		Waitlist/TAU		Total Weight	Mean Difference		Risk of Bias						
	Mean	SD	Total	Mean		IV, Random	95% CI	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Total (95% CI)			0		0									
Heterogeneity:	Not applicable													
Test for overall effect:	Not applicable													

Risk of bias legend

- (A) Random sequence generation (selection bias)
- (B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)
- (C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)
- (D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)
- (E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)
- (F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)
- (G) Other bias



1.17 Fravær fra arbejde - behandlingsafslutning (0 RCT)

Study or Subgroup	Non-farm. interventioner		Waitlist/TAU		Total Weight	Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias						
	Events	Total	Events	Total		M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% CI		A	B	C	D	E	F	G



- Risk of bias legend
- (A) Random sequence generation (selection bias)
 - (B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)
 - (C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)
 - (D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)
 - (E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)
 - (F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)
 - (G) Other bias

1.18 Kriminalitet - længste opfølgning (0 RCT)

Study or Subgroup	Non-farm. interventioner		Waitlist/TAU		Total Weight	Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI	Risk of Bias						
	Events	Total	Events	Total		M-H, Random, 95% CI	Not estimable		A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Total (95% CI)		0		0	0		Not estimable								
Total events	0		0		0										
Heterogeneity: Not applicable															
Test for overall effect: Not applicable															
Risk of bias legend (A) Random sequence generation (selection bias) (B) Allocation concealment (selection bias) (C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) (D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) (E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) (F) Selective reporting (reporting bias) (G) Other bias															



1.19 Ophor af medicinsk behandling - behandlingsafslutning

Study or Subgroup	Non-farm. intervention		Waitlist/TAU		Total Weight	Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI	Risk of Bias						
	Events	Total	Events	Total		M-H, Random, 95% CI	95% CI		A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Pan 2022	0	49	1	49	100.0%	0.33	[0.01, 7.99]								
Total (95% CI)		49		49	100.0%	0.33	[0.01, 7.99]								
Total events	0		1												
Heterogeneity: Not applicable															
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.68 (P = 0.50)															

Risk of bias legend

- (A) Random sequence generation (selection bias)
- (B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)
- (C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)
- (D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)
- (E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)
- (F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)
- (G) Other bias

1.20 Ophør af medicinsk behandling - længste follow-up


Study or Subgroup	Non-farm. interventioner		Waitlist/TAU		Total Weight	Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias						
	Events	Total	Events	Total		M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% CI		A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Pan 2022	5	49	2	49	100.0%		2.50 [0.51, 12.28]								
Total (95% CI)		49		49	100.0%		2.50 [0.51, 12.28]								
Total events	5		2												
Heterogeneity: Not applicable															
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.13 (P = 0.26)															




2 PICO 2 - Ingen medicin + non-farmakologiske interventioner vs waitlist/TAU (uden medicin)

1 PICO 1 - Medicin + non-farmakologiske interventioner vs waitlist/TAU - Subgruppeanalyse


1.1 Funktionsniveau - observatørvurderet - behandlingsafslutning - 100% medicin vs <100% medicin




1.2 Funktionsniveau - observatørvurderet - behandlingsafslutning - CGI severity - 100% medicin vs <100% medicin




1.3 Funktionsniveau - observatørvurderet - længste opfølgning - 100% medicin vs <100% medicin




1.4 Funktionsniveau - observatørvurderet - længste opfølgning - CGI severity - 100% medicin vs <100% medicin



1.5 Funktionsniveau - selvvurderet - behandlingsafslutning - 100% medicin vs <100% medicin




1.6 Funktionsniveau - selvvurderet - længste opfølgning - 100% medicin vs <100% medicin




Footnotes:
(1) Work and Social Adjustment Scale (WSAS). Skala 0-8 på 5 items. Lower is better. 43 ugers opfølgning.


1.7 Alvorlige hændelser - længste opfølgning - 100% medicin vs <100% medicin




1.8 Livskvalitet - behandlingsafslutning - 100% medicin vs <100% medicin




1.9 ADHD symptomer - observatørvurderet - behandlingsafslutning - 100% medicin vs <100% medicin



1.10 ADHD symptom - selvvurderet - behandlingsafslutning - 100% medicin vs <100% medicin



1.11 ADHD symptom - uopmærksomhed - observatørvurderet - behandlingsafslutning - 100% medicin vs <100% medicin




Footnotes


(1) BARKLEY ADULT ADHD RATING SCALE-IV (BAARS-IV): Inattention, range 0-27, lower is better

(2) CAARS-Inattention/memory subscale, range?, lower is better

1.12 ADHD symptom - uopmærksomhed - selvvurderet - behandlingsafslutning - 100% medicin vs <100% medicin



1.13 ADHD symptom - hyperaktiv impulsivitet - observatørvurderet - behandlingsafslutning - 100% medicin vs <100% medicin




(1) Barkley Adult ADHD Rating Scale-IV (BAARS-IV): Hyperactive impulsive, range 0-27, lower is better

1.14 ADHD symptom - hyperaktiv impulsivitet - selvvurderet - behandlingsafslutning - 100% medicin vs <100% medicin

Study or Subgroup	Non-farm. interventioner		Waitlist/TAU		Weight	Std. Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI	Risk of Bias
	Mean	SD	Total	Mean			
1.14.1 100% medicin							
Subtotal (95% CI)			0		0	Not estimable	
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Not applicable							
1.14.4 <100% medicin							
Mitchell 2017 (1)	13.73	3.66	11	20.11	5.49	9	-1.34 [-2.33, -0.34]
Van der Oord 2020 (2)	1.06	0.46	30	1.23	0.46	29	-0.36 [-0.88, 0.15]
Subtotal (95% CI)			41			38	-0.75 [-1.69, 0.18]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.31; Chi ² = 2.90, df = 1 (P = 0.09); I ² = 66%							
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.58 (P = 0.11)							
Total (95% CI)							
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.31; Chi ² = 2.90, df = 1 (P = 0.09); I ² = 66%							
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.58 (P = 0.11)							
Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable							
Footnotes							
(1) Barkley Adult ADHD Rating Scale-IV (BAARS-IV): Hyperactive impulsive, range 0-27, lower is better							
(2) The ADHD Rating Scale-impulsive/hyperactive (ADHD-RS-Impulsive/Hyperactive), lower is better							
Risk of bias legend							
(A) Random sequence generation (selection bias)							
(B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)							
(C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)							
(D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)							
(E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)							
(F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)							
(G) Other bias							

1.15 Frafald - behandlingsafslutning - 100% medicin vs <100% medicin



- (D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)
- (E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)
- (F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)
- (G) Other bias

1.16 Misbrug og afbrydelse - længste opfølgning (0 RCT)

Study or Subgroup	Non-farm. intervention		Waitlist/TAU		Total Weight	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI	Risk of Bias						
	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Total (95% CI)	0		0	0		0							
Heterogeneity: Not applicable													
Test for overall effect: Not applicable													
Risk of bias legend													
(A) Random sequence generation (selection bias)													
(B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)													
(C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)													
(D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)													
(E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)													
(F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)													
(G) Other bias													

1.17 Fravær fra arbejde - behandlingsafslutning (0 RCT)

Study or Subgroup	Non-farm. interventioner		Waitlist/TAU		Total Weight	Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio		Risk of Bias						
	Events	Total	Events	Total		M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% CI	A	B	C	D	E	F	G



- Risk of bias legend
- (A) Random sequence generation (selection bias)
 - (B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)
 - (C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)
 - (D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)
 - (E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)
 - (F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)
 - (G) Other bias

1.18 Kriminalitet - længste opfølgning (0 RCT)

Study or Subgroup	Non-farm. interventioner		Waitlist/TAU		Total Weight	Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias						
	Events	Total	Events	Total		M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% CI		A	B	C	D	E	F	G

Total (95% CI)

0000

Not estimable

Total events

0

0

Heterogeneity: Not applicable


Test for overall effect: Not applicable




Risk of bias legend

- (A) Random sequence generation (selection bias)
- (B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)
- (C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)
- (D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)
- (E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)
- (F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)
- (G) Other bias

1.19 Ophor af medicinsk behandling - behandlingsafslutning



1.20 Ophør af medicinsk behandling - længste follow-up



2 PICO 2 - Ingen medicin + non-farmakologiske interventioner vs waitlist/TAU (uden medicin) (0 RCT)

PICO 1 Risk of bias

Intention-to-treat	Unique ID	Study ID	Experimental	Comparator	Outcome	Weight	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	Overall	
	2	Dittner 2018	CBT	TAU	Funktionsniveau - self-rated	1	!	!	+	+	+	!	Low risk
	3	Emilsson 2011	CBT	TAU	Funktionsniveau - observer-rated	1	!	!	-	+	!	-	Some concerns
	4	Halmoy 2022	DBT	TAU	Funktionsniveau - self-rated	1	-	!	-	-	+	-	High risk
	5	Hepark 2019	Mindfulness-based CBT	TAU	Funktionsniveau - self-rated	1	!	!	-	+	!	-	
	6	Huang 2019	CBT	TAU	Funktionsniveau - self-rated	1	-	!	-	+	!	-	
	7	Mawjee 2017	Working memory training	TAU	Funktionsniveau - self-rated	1	!	-	-	-	!	-	D1 Randomisation process
	8	Mawjee 2015	Working memory training	TAU	Funktionsniveau - self-rated	1	-	!	-	-	+	-	D2 Deviations from the intended interventions
	9	Mitchell 2017	Mindfulness	TAU	Funktionsniveau - self-rated	1	-	!	-	-	!	-	D3 Missing outcome data
					Funktionsniveau - self-rated	1	-	!	-	-	!	-	D4 Measurement of the outcome
	11	Nakashima 2022	CBT (group)	TAU	Funktionsniveau - observer-rated	1	-	!	-	-	!	-	D5 Selection of the reported result
	12	Pan 2022	CBT (group)	TAU	Funktionsniveau - observer-rated	1	-	!	-	-	!	-	
	13	Philipsen 2015	CBT/DBT	TAU	Funktionsniveau - observer-rated	1	!	!	-	-	+	-	
	15	Nasri 2023	CBT/Mindfulness	TAU	Funktionsniveau - observer-rated	1	!	!	-	-	+	-	
	16	Safren 2005	CBT	TAU	Funktionsniveau - observer-rated	1	-	!	+	-	!	-	
	17	Petterson 2017	CBT	TAU	Funktionsniveau - self-rated	1	!	!	+	+	!	!	