


Figures

Figure 1

	Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)	Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)	Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)	Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Other bias
Carrick 2018	?	?	●	●	●	+	●
Jarusiewicz 2002	?	?	●	●	●	●	●
Kouijzer 2010	?	?	●	●	+	+	+
Kouijzer 2013	?	?	●	●	+	●	+
Pineda 2008	?	?	?	●	+	+	+

Risk of bias summary: review authors' judgements about each risk of bias item for each included study.

Figure 2 (Analysis 1.1)




Risk of bias legend

- (A) Random sequence generation (selection bias)
- (B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)
- (C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)
- (D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)
- (E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)
- (F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)
- (G) Other bias

Forest plot of comparison: 1 Neurofeedback vs TAU, outcome: 1.1 Funktionsniveau, forældrebedømt, QAFB.

Figure 3 (Analysis 1.2)



Footnotes


- (1) SRS total score
- (2) SRS-SCI index

Risk of bias legend

- (A) Random sequence generation (selection bias)
- (B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)
- (C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)
- (D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)
- (E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)
- (F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)
- (G) Other bias

Forest plot of comparison: 1 Neurofeedback vs TAU, outcome: 1.2 Kernesymptomer autisme, forælderreporteret.

Figure 4 (Analysis 1.3)




Risk of bias legend

- (A) Random sequence generation (selection bias)
- (B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)
- (C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)
- (D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)
- (E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)
- (F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)
- (G) Other bias

Forest plot of comparison: 1 Neurofeedback vs TAU, outcome: 1.3 Kernesymptomer, klinikerbedømt, ABC Total.

Figure 5 (Analysis 1.4)



Risk of bias legend

- (A) Random sequence generation (selection bias)
- (B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)
- (C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)
- (D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)
- (E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)
- (F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)
- (G) Other bias

Forest plot of comparison: 1 Neurofeedback vs TAU, outcome: 1.4 Eksekutiv funktion, BRIEF global executive composite, lower better.