

AGREEII

A critical group appraisal of: Varicose veins in the legs: The diagnosis and management of varicose veins: (CG168) using the AGREE II Instrument

Created with the AGREE II Online Guideline Appraisal Tool.

No endorsement of the content of this document by the AGREE Research Trust should be implied.

Co-ordinator: Elisabeth Ginnerup

Date: 25 October 2016

Email: elisabeth.marie.ginnerup-nielsen@regionh.dk

URL of this appraisal: http://www.agreetrust.org/group-appraisal/4884

Guideline URL: https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg168/evidence

Domain 1	Domain 2	Domain 3	Domain 4	Domain 5	Domain 6	OA 1	OA 2
100%	92%	96%	100%	21%	100%	83%	Yes - 2, Yes with modifications - 0, No - 0

Dama sin	1 Carra and I	D	
Domain .	1. Scope and I	1	
4	Appraiser 2	Appraiser 3	
Item 1	7	7	
Item 2	7	7	
Item 3	7	7	
Damain	O Chalcabalda	- T 1	
Domain .	2. Stakeholder		
	Appraiser 2	Appraiser 3	
Item 4	7	5	
Item 5	7	7	
Item 6	7	6	
Domain .	3. Rigour of D	evelopment	
	Appraiser 2	Appraiser	
Item 7	7	7	
Item 8	7	7	
Item 9	7	7	
Item 10	7	7	
Item 11	7	5	
Item 12	7	7	
Item 13	7	7	
Item 14	7	5	
Domain 4	4. Clarity of P	resentation	
	Appraiser 2	Appraiser 3	
Item 15	7	7	
Item 16	7	7	

Appraiser 2

Appraiser 3

Domain 5. Applicability

Item 18	1	1					
Item 19	1	1					
Item 20	4	2					
Item 21	4	4					
Domain 6. Editorial Independence							
	Appraiser 2	Appraiser 3					
Item 22	7	7					
Item 23	7	7					
'							
Overall Assessment							
	Appraiser 2	Appraiser 3					
OA1	6	6					

Created online at www.agreetrust.org 25 October 2016



AGREEII

A critical group appraisal of: Treatment strategies for patients with lower extremity chronic venous disease (LECVD) using the AGREE II Instrument

Created with the AGREE II Online Guideline Appraisal Tool.

No endorsement of the content of this document by the AGREE Research Trust should be implied.

Co-ordinator: Elisabeth Ginnerup

Date: 25 October 2016

Email: elisabeth.marie.ginnerup-nielsen@regionh.dk

URL of this appraisal: http://www.agreetrust.org/group-appraisal/4917

Guideline URL:

https://regroup-production.s3.amazonaws.com/documents/ReviewReference/23534760/Ede ma.3176.GUI.pdf?AWSAccessKeyId=AKIAIH6DLCYZGRZ772MQ&Expires=1476191935&Signature=emiAg08HAfYlV2/sg4dgwE8HUBw=

Domai	in 1 I	Domain 2	Domain 3	Domain 4	Domain 5	Domain 6	OA 1	OA 2
72%	6	61%	79%	56%	25%	0%	83%	Yes - 1, Yes with modifications - 0, No - 0

Domain 1. S	cope and Purpose
	Appraiser 1
Item 1	7
Item 2	7
Item 3	2
Domain 2. S	takeholder Involvement
	Appraiser 1
Item 4	4
Item 5	6
Item 6	4
Domain 3. R	igour of Development
	Appraiser 1
Item 7	7
Item 8	7
Item 9	7
Item 10	3
Item 11	7
Item 12	7
Item 13	7
Item 14	1
Domain 4 C	larity of Presentation
Domain 4. C	Appraiser 1
Item 15	2
Item 16	7
Item 17	4
Domain 5. A	pplicability
	Appraiser 1

Item 18	7				
Item 19	1				
Item 20	1				
Item 21	1				
Domain 6. Editorial Independence					
	Appraiser 1				
Item 22	1				
Item 23	1				
·					
Overall Assessment					
	Appraiser 1				
OA1	6				

Created online at www.agreetrust.org 25 October 2016



AGREEII

A critical appraisal of: Treatment strategies for patients with lower extremity chronic venous disease (LECVD) using the AGREE II Instrument

Created with the AGREE II Online Guideline Appraisal Tool.

No endorsement of the content of this document by the AGREE Research Trust should be implied.

Appraiser: Susan Nørregaard

Date: 21 October 2016

Email: susan.noerregaard@regionh.dk

URL of this appraisal: http://www.agreetrust.org/appraisal/36291

Guideline URL:

Overall Assessment

Title: Treatment strategies for patients with lower extremity chronic venous disease

(LECVD)

Overall quality of this guideline: 6/7

Guideline recommended for use? Yes.

Domain	Total
1. Scope and Purpose	16
2. Stakeholder Involvement	14
3. Rigour of Development	46
4. Clarity of Presentation	13
5. Applicability	10
6. Editorial Independence	2

1. Scope and Purpose

1. The overall objective(s) of the guideline is (are) specifically described.

Rating: 7

2. The health question(s) covered by the guideline is (are) specifically described.

Rating: 7

3. The population (patients, public, etc.) to whom the guideline is meant to apply is specifically described.

Rating: 2

2. Stakeholder Involvement

4. The guideline development group includes individuals from all relevant professional groups.

Rating: 4

Der er endnu ingen navne på forfatterne. Der står at artiklen er et udkast og ikke må citeres endnu

5. The views and preferences of the target population (patients, public, etc.) have been sought.

Rating: 6

Ingen forfatter liste endnu.

6. The target users of the guideline are clearly defined.

Rating: 4

Det er ikke defineret hvem brugerne af denne guideline er.

3. Rigour of Development

7. Systematic methods were used to search for evidence.

Rating: 7

8. The criteria for selecting the evidence are clearly described.

Rating: 7

9. The strengths and limitations of the body of evidence are clearly described.

Rating: 7

10. The methods for formulating the recommendations are clearly described.

Rating: 3

11. The health benefits, side effects, and risks have been considered in formulating the recommendations.

Rating: 7

12. There is an explicit link between the recommendations and the supporting evidence.

Rating: 7

13. The guideline has been externally reviewed by experts prior to its publication.

Rating: 7

Det vil den blive

14. A procedure for updating the guideline is provided.

4. Clarity of Presentation

15. The recommendations are specific and unambiguous.

Rating: 2

Er meget i tvivl

16. The different options for management of the condition or health issue are clearly presented.

Rating: 7

17. Key recommendations are easily identifiable.

Rating: 4

5. Applicability

18. The guideline describes facilitators and barriers to its application.

Rating: 7

19. The guideline provides advice and/or tools on how the recommendations can be put into practice.

Rating: 1

20. The potential resource implications of applying the recommendations have been considered.

Rating: 1

21. The guideline presents monitoring and/or auditing criteria.

Rating: 1

6. Editorial Independence

22. The views of the funding body have not influenced the content of the guideline.

Rating: 1

Ikke beskrevet endnu

23. Competing interests of guideline development group members have been recorded and addressed.

Rating: 1

Ikke beskrevet endnu

Created online at www.agreetrust.org 21 October 2016