

Citation	PICO nr	PICO name	List relevant outcomes	Was meta-analysis performed for the relevant outcomes?	1. Was an 'a priori' design provided?	2. Was there duplicate study selection and data extraction?	3. Was a comprehensive literature search performed?	4. Was the status of publication (i.e. grey literature) used as an inclusion criterion?	5. Was a list of studies (included and excluded) provided?	6. Were the characteristics of the included studies provided?	7. Was the scientific quality of the included studies assessed and documented?	8. Was the scientific quality of the included studies used appropriately in formulating conclusions?	9. Were the methods used to combine the findings of studies appropriate?	10. Was the likelihood of publication bias assessed?	11. Was the conflict of interest included?	Notes
Siddiqi N, 2016	2	Bør patienter med risiko for delir have multikomponent non-farmakologisk intervention?	Inpatient mortality, 12 month mortality, length of admission, incident delirium, falls, improvement in ADL, return to independent living, pressure ulcers.	ja, mangler genindlæggelser	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Abraha, 2015	3	Bør patienter med delir have multikomponent non-farmakologisk intervention?	Mortality rate, cognitive improvement, length of stay	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Can't answer	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Can't answer	Yes	Beskriver studier, foretager ikke metaanalyser på alle outcome, kun delirudvikling. Beskriver ikke søgning efter grey litt.
Neufeld, 2016	7	Bør patienter med delir behandles med antipsykotika?	delirium mortality, delirium severity, adverse events - SAE),	yes, for mortality and severity - SAE not presented as FP	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Not applicable	Yes	No	Yes	Authors have chosen to exclude all non-english publication, which is not recommended in Cochrane reviews -