## Overblik over AMSTAR vurderinger af retningslinjer for NKR for Øvre dysfagi - Opsporing, udredning og udvalgte indsatser

Reference	PICO	1. Was an 'a priori' design provided?	2. Was there duplicate study selection and data extraction?	3. Was a comprehensive literature search performed?	4. Was the status of publication (i.e. grey literature) used as an inclusion criterion?	5. Was a list of studies (included and excluded) provided?	6. Were the characteristic s of the included studies provided?	7. Was the scientific quality of the included studies assessed and documented?
Hines 2014								
RefID: 7126	1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Martino 2005								
RefID: 626	1	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
Westergren 2006 RefID:								
6243	1	No	Can't answer	No	No	No	No	Yes
Hannawi 2013 <u>RefID: 5791</u>	1	No	Can't answer	No	No	No	No	No
Westergren 2006 RefID:								
6243	2	No	Can't answer	No	No	No	No	Yes
Brady 2006								
RefID: 11863	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kwok 2015								
RefID:11539	6	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No
Pace 2010 RefID:11658	6	No	No	Can't answer	No	No	No	No
Shi 2013								
RefID: 11862	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Tay 2014 RefID: 11780	6	No	No	No	No	No	No	Can't answer

Reference	PICO	1. Was an 'a priori' design provided?	2. Was there duplicate study selection and data extraction?	3. Was a comprehensive literature search performed?	4. Was the status of publication (i.e. grey literature) used as an inclusion criterion?	5. Was a list of studies (included and excluded) provided?	6. Were the characteristic s of the included studies provided?	7. Was the scientific quality of the included studies assessed and documented?
van der								
Maarel-Wierink								
2013								
RefID:11593	6	S No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
Ferreira 2011								
RefID:11037	7	' No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No
Kraaijenga								
2014								
RefID:6373	7	' No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No
Cousins 2013								
RefID:9667	7	' No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Carnaby 2013	7	, No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Checklin 2015		No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No
RefID: 12558	8	3						

Reference	8. Was the scientific quality of the included studies used appropriately in formulating conclusions?	9. Were the methods used to combine the findings of studies appropriate?	10. Was the likelihood of publication bias assessed?	11. Was the conflict of interest included?	Anvendes i NKR?
Hines 2014 RefID:					
7126	No	No	No	Yes	Nej
Martino 2005					
RefID: 626	Yes	Yes	No	No	Nej
Westergren 2006					
RefID: 6243	Yes	Not applicable	No	Yes	Nej
Hannawi 2013					
RefID: 5791	Can't answer	Not applicable	No	Yes	Nej
Westergren 2006					
RefID: 6243	Yes	Not applicable	No	Yes	Nej
Brady 2006 RefID:					
11863	Yes	No	No	Yes	Nej
Kwok 2015					
RefID:11539	No	No	No	Yes	Nej (Wrong population)
Pace 2010	NI-	Nint namelia dala	NI -	Nia	NI-:
RefID:11658	No	Not applicable	No	No	Nej
Shi 2013 RefID:	V	v		v	/
11862	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Nej (wrong population)
Tay 2014 RefID:	.,				
11780	Yes	Not applicable	No	No	Nej

Reference	8. Was the scientific quality of the included studies used appropriately in formulating conclusions?	9. Were the methods used to combine the findings of studies appropriate?	10. Was the likelihood of publication bias assessed?	11. Was the conflict of interest included?	Anvendes i NKR?
van der Maarel-					
Wierink 2013					
RefID:11593	No	No	No	No	Nej
Ferreira 2011					
RefID:11037	No	No	No	No	Nej
Kraaijenga 2014					
RefID:6373	No	No	No	No	Nej
Cousins 2013					
RefID:9667	Can't answer	No	No	Yes	Nej
Carnaby 2013	Yes	Not applicable	No	Yes	Nej
Checklin 2015 RefID: 12558	No	Not applicable	No	Yes	Nej