High-dose versus low-dose oxytocin for augmentation of delayed labour [Data only.17-Sep-2014

1 High versus low dose of oxytocin (all women)

1.1 Neonatal mortality

	High de	ose	Low do	ose		Risk Ratio	Risk F	Ratio	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed	d, 95% Cl	
Jamal 2004	0	100	0	100		Not estimable			
Kenyon 2013	0	47	0	47		Not estimable			
Xenakis 1995	0	154	0	156		Not estimable			
Total (95% CI)		301		303		Not estimable			
Total events	0		0						
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable							10	100
Test for overall effect:	Not applic	able					0.01 0.1 1 Favours high dose	10 Favours low	100 dose

Risk of bias legend

1.2 Apgar score less than 7 at 5 minutes

	High d	ose	Low do	ose		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	
Bidgood 1987	0	19	1	21	100.0%	0.37 [0.02, 8.50]		
Kenyon 2013	0	47	0	47		Not estimable		
Xenakis 1995	0	154	0	156		Not estimable		
Total (95% CI)		220		224	100.0%	0.37 [0.02, 8.50]		
Total events	0		1					
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable							100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.63 (P = 0.5	3)				0.01 0.1 1 10 Favours high dose Favours low de	100 ose

Risk of bias legend

1.3 Umbilical cord (artery) pH

	Hig	gh dos	e	Lo	w dos	е		Mean Difference	Mean Difference	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	
Bidgood 1987	7.27	0.08	19	7.27	0.11	21	23.0%	0.00 [-0.06, 0.06]	•	
Kenyon 2013	7.24	0.08	47	7.24	0.08	47	77.0%	0.00 [-0.03, 0.03]	•	
Total (95% CI)			66			68	100.0%	0.00 [-0.03, 0.03]		
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = Test for overall effect:	-	`	'	; l² = 0%	6				-100 -50 0 50 Favours high dose Favours low dos	100 se

Risk of bias leaend

1.4 Neonatal admission to special care baby units

	High de	ose	Low do	ose		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	
Kenyon 2013	1	47	7	47	43.9%	0.14 [0.02, 1.12]		
Xenakis 1995	7	154	9	156	56.1%	0.79 [0.30, 2.06]		
Total (95% CI)		201		203	100.0%	0.50 [0.22, 1.15]	•	
Total events	8		16					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	2.27, df = ⁻	1 (P = 0).13); l ² =	56%				100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.62 (P = 0.1	1)				0.01 0.1 1 10 Favours high dose Favours low of	100 dose

Risk of bias legend

High-dose versus low-dose oxytocin for augmentation of delayed labour [Data only.17-Sep-2014

1.9 Caesarean section

	High de	ose	Low do	ose		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	
Xenakis 1995	16	154	40	156	56.5%	0.41 [0.24, 0.69]		
Jamal 2004	5	100	9	100	12.8%	0.56 [0.19, 1.60]		
Bidgood 1987	5	19	7	21	9.4%	0.79 [0.30, 2.07]		
Kenyon 2013	17	47	15	47	21.3%	1.13 [0.64, 1.99]		
Total (95% CI)		320		324	100.0%	0.62 [0.44, 0.86]	•	
Total events	43		71					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	7.13, df = 3	3 (P = 0	0.07); l ² =	58%				100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.82 (I	P = 0.0	05)				0.01 0.1 1 10 Favours high dose Favours low c	100 lose

Risk of bias leaend 1.10 Subgroup analysis: Caesarean section by parity

	High do	ose	Low do	ose		Risk Ratio	Risk R	atio	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed	95% CI	
1.10.1 Nulliparous wo	men								
Bidgood 1987	5	19	7	21	11.1%	0.79 [0.30, 2.07]			
Kenyon 2013	17	47	15	47	24.9%	1.13 [0.64, 1.99]	-	_	
Xenakis 1995 Subtotal (95% Cl)	8	72 1 38	26	94 1 62	37.5% 73.5%	0.40 [0.19, 0.83] 0.71 [0.47, 1.06]	- -		
Total events	30		48						
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 5 Test for overall effect: 2 1.10.2 Multiparous wo	Z = 1.68 (F	`	, -	00 /8					
Xenakis 1995 Subtotal (95% CI)	8	82 82	14	62 62	26.5% 26.5%	0.43 [0.19, 0.97] 0.43 [0.19, 0.97]	•		
Total events Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: 2		^D = 0.0	14 4)						
Total (95% CI)		220		224	100.0%	0.64 [0.44, 0.91]	•		
Total events Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 6$ Test for overall effect: 2 Test for subgroup differ	Z = 2.49 (F	[–] = 0.0	1)		20) 12 - 1	4 19/	0.01 0.1 1 Favours high dose F	-	00 se

Risk of bias legend

1.11 Instrumental vaginal birth

	High de	ose	Low do	ose		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	
Bidgood 1987	8	19	9	21	13.3%	0.98 [0.48, 2.02]	_ + _	
Kenyon 2013	17	47	21	47	32.6%	0.81 [0.49, 1.33]		
Xenakis 1995	28	154	35	156	54.1%	0.81 [0.52, 1.26]	-	
Total (95% CI)		220		224	100.0%	0.83 [0.61, 1.13]	•	
Total events	53		65					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	0.23, df = 2	2 (P = 0).89); l ² =	0%				100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.18 (P = 0.2	4)				0.01 0.1 1 10 Favours high dose Favours low c	100 Jose

Risk of bias legend

High-dose versus low-dose oxytocin for augmentation of delayed labour [Data only.17-Sep-2014

1.12 Length of labour (hour; oxytocin to delivery)

	•	dose		-	<i>N</i> dos			Mean Differe		Mean Difference	Risk of
Study or Subgroup			otal I	Mean		Total		IV, Fixed, 95		IV, Fixed, 95% CI	
Bidgood 1987	7.8	2.7	19	11.3	6.1	21	00.0%	-3.50 [-6.38, -	0.62]	-	
Fotal (95% CI)			19			21	100.0%	-3.50 [-6.38, -	0 621	•	
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable							0.000 [0.000,	····-	• • •	
Test for overall effect:		(P - 0 0	2)						-10		50 100
	2 = 2.00	(1 = 0.0	-)						Fav	ours high dose Favours	low dose
Risk of bias legend											
15 Length of labour (r	minute; o	nset of	first	stage	to de	livery)					
Study or Subaroup	•	dose SD To	stal I		w dos	se Total	Woight	Mean Diffe	erence ed,95% Cl	Mean Differ IV, Fixed, 95	
Study or Subgroup	Mean									IV, FIXED, 95	70 CI
Kenyon 2013	917	239	46	943	260	46	100.0%	-26.00 [-128.	06, 76.06]		
Total (95% CI)			46			46	100.0%	-26.00 [-128.0	06, 76.06]		
leterogeneity: Not app	olicable							-	· •		<u> </u>
est for overall effect:		(P = 0.6)	2)							-100 -50 0 Favours high dose Fav	50 100
										Favours night dose Fa	
Risk of bias legend											
6 Incidence of postp	bartum h	aemorr	hage								
	High c	lose		w dos	e		Rie	sk Ratio		Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	0		-		-	Weight		Fixed, 95% CI		M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	THOIL OF DIGS
Kenyon 2013	21	47	-	22		100.0%		95 [0.61, 1.48]			
,								- L , - J			
otal (95% CI)		47			47	100.0%	0.9	5 [0.61, 1.48]		•	
()											
Total events	21			22							
Total events Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable			22					0.01 0		100
Total events	olicable	(P = 0.8	4)	22					0.01 0 Favours h	1 1 10 igh dose Favours low do	100 Jse
Fotal events Heterogeneity: Not app Fest for overall effect:	olicable	(P = 0.8	4)	22						-	
Total events Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: Risk of bias legend	olicable Z = 0.21		4)	22						-	
Fotal events Heterogeneity: Not app Fest for overall effect:	olicable Z = 0.21		4)	22						-	
Fotal events Heterogeneity: Not app Fest for overall effect: Risk of bias legend	olicable Z = 0.21	itis		22 w dos	e		Ris	sk Ratio		-	
Total events Heterogeneity: Not app Fest for overall effect: Risk of bias legend I 7 Diagnosis of chori e	olicable Z = 0.21 oamnion High c	itis Iose	Lov	w dos		Weight		sk Ratio Fixed, 95% CI	Favours h	igh dose Favours low do	se
Total events Heterogeneity: Not app Fest for overall effect: Risk of bias legend I 7 Diagnosis of chori e Study or Subgroup	olicable Z = 0.21 oamnion High c	itis Iose <u>Total</u> 47	Lov	w dos	Fotal 47	2.8%	<u>М-Н, I</u> 1.00	Fixed, 95% Cl [0.06, 15.52]	Favours h	igh dose Favours low do Risk Ratio	se
Total events Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: Risk of bias legend Totagnosis of chorie Study or Subgroup Kenyon 2013	olicable Z = 0.21 oamnion High c Events	itis Iose Total	Lov	w dos nts T	Total	-	<u>М-Н, I</u> 1.00	Fixed, 95% Cl	Favours h	igh dose Favours low do Risk Ratio	se
otal events leterogeneity: Not app est for overall effect: Risk of bias legend 7 Diagnosis of chorid Study or Subgroup Kenyon 2013 Kenakis 1995	olicable Z = 0.21 oamnion High c Events	itis Iose Total 47 154	Lov	w dos nts T 1	fotal 47 156	2.8% 97.2%	<u>M-H, I</u> 1.00 0.6	Fixed, 95% Cl 0 [0.06, 15.52] 39 [0.43, 1.11]	Favours h	igh dose Favours low do Risk Ratio	se
Total events Heterogeneity: Not app Fest for overall effect: Risk of bias legend I 7 Diagnosis of chorid Study or Subgroup Kenyon 2013 Kenakis 1995 Total (95% CI)	olicable Z = 0.21 oamnion High c Events 1 24	itis Iose <u>Total</u> 47	Lov	w dos nts T 1 35	fotal 47 156	2.8%	<u>M-H, I</u> 1.00 0.6	Fixed, 95% Cl [0.06, 15.52]	Favours h	igh dose Favours low do Risk Ratio	se
Total events Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: Risk of bias legend Totagnosis of chorie Study or Subgroup Kenyon 2013 Kenakis 1995 Total (95% CI) Total events	olicable Z = 0.21 oamnion High c Events 1 24 25	itis lose Total 47 154 201	Lov Eve	w dos nts 1 1 35 36	70tal 47 156 203	2.8% 97.2%	<u>M-H, I</u> 1.00 0.6	Fixed, 95% Cl 0 [0.06, 15.52] 39 [0.43, 1.11]	Favours h	igh dose Favours low do Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	Risk of Bias
Total events Heterogeneity: Not app Fest for overall effect: Risk of bias legend IT Diagnosis of chorie Study or Subgroup Kenyon 2013 Kenakis 1995 Total (95% CI) Fotal events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = (olicable Z = 0.21 oamnion High c Events 1 24 25 0.07, df =	itis lose <u>Total</u> 47 154 201 1 (P = 0	Lov <u>Eve</u> 0.80);	w dos nts 1 1 35 36	70tal 47 156 203	2.8% 97.2%	<u>M-H, I</u> 1.00 0.6	Fixed, 95% Cl 0 [0.06, 15.52] 99 [0.43, 1.11] 0 [0.44, 1.12]	Favours h	igh dose Favours low do Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	Risk of Bias
Total events Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: Risk of bias legend	olicable Z = 0.21 oamnion High c Events 1 24 25 0.07, df =	itis lose <u>Total</u> 47 154 201 1 (P = 0	Lov <u>Eve</u> 0.80);	w dos nts 1 1 35 36	70tal 47 156 203	2.8% 97.2%	<u>M-H, I</u> 1.00 0.6	Fixed, 95% Cl 0 [0.06, 15.52] 99 [0.43, 1.11] 0 [0.44, 1.12]	Favours h	igh dose Favours low do Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	Risk of Bias
Total events Heterogeneity: Not app Fest for overall effect: Risk of bias legend I 7 Diagnosis of chorid Study or Subgroup Kenyon 2013 Kenakis 1995 Fotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = (Fest for overall effect:	olicable Z = 0.21 oamnion High c Events 1 24 25 0.07, df =	itis lose <u>Total</u> 47 154 201 1 (P = 0	Lov <u>Eve</u> 0.80);	w dos nts 1 1 35 36	70tal 47 156 203	2.8% 97.2%	<u>M-H, I</u> 1.00 0.6	Fixed, 95% Cl 0 [0.06, 15.52] 99 [0.43, 1.11] 0 [0.44, 1.12]	Favours h	igh dose Favours low do Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	Risk of Bias
Total events Heterogeneity: Not app Fest for overall effect: Risk of bias legend 17 Diagnosis of chorid Study or Subgroup Kenyon 2013 Kenakis 1995 Total (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = (olicable Z = 0.21 oamnion High c Events 1 24 25 0.07, df = Z = 1.49	itis lose <u>Total</u> 47 154 201 1 (P = ((P = 0.1	Lov <u>Eve</u> 0.80);	w dos nts 1 1 35 36	70tal 47 156 203	2.8% 97.2%	<u>M-H, I</u> 1.00 0.6	Fixed, 95% Cl 0 [0.06, 15.52] 99 [0.43, 1.11] 0 [0.44, 1.12]	Favours h	igh dose Favours low do Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	Risk of Bias
Total events Heterogeneity: Not app Fest for overall effect: Risk of bias legend 17 Diagnosis of chorid Study or Subgroup Kenyon 2013 Kenakis 1995 Total (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = (Test for overall effect: Risk of bias legend	olicable Z = 0.21 oamnion High c Events 1 24 25 0.07, df = Z = 1.49	itis lose <u>Total</u> 47 154 201 (P = 0.1 (P = 0.1	Lov Eve 0.80); 4)	w dos nts 1 1 35 36 I ² = 0 ^r	Fotal 47 156 203 %	2.8% 97.2%	<u>M-H, I</u> 1.00 0.6 0.7 (Fixed, 95% Cl 0 [0.06, 15.52] 9 [0.43, 1.11] 0 [0.44, 1.12]	Favours h	igh dose Favours low do Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl 1 1 10 igh dose Favours low do	Risk of Bias
Total events Heterogeneity: Not app Fest for overall effect: Risk of bias legend I 7 Diagnosis of chorid Study or Subgroup Kenyon 2013 Kenakis 1995 Fotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = (Fest for overall effect: Risk of bias legend I8 Incidence of hyper	olicable Z = 0.21 oamnion High c Events 1 24 25 0.07, df = Z = 1.49 rstimulati High c	itis lose <u>Total</u> 47 154 201 1 (P = 0 (P = 0.1) (P = 0.1)	Lov <u>Eve</u> 0.80); 4)	w dos nts 1 1 35 36 1 ² = 0 ⁴ w dos	Fotal 47 156 203 %	2.8% 97.2% 100.0%	<u>M-H, I</u> 1.00 0.6 0.74	Fixed, 95% CI 0 [0.06, 15.52] 9 [0.43, 1.11] 0 [0.44, 1.12] Kisk Ratio	Favours h	igh dose Favours low do Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl 1 1 10 igh dose Favours low do Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias
Total events Heterogeneity: Not app Fest for overall effect: Risk of bias legend I7 Diagnosis of chorid Study or Subgroup Kenyon 2013 Kenakis 1995 Fotal (95% CI) Fotal events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0 Fest for overall effect: Risk of bias legend I8 Incidence of hyper	blicable Z = 0.21 coamnion High c Events 1 24 25 0.07, df = Z = 1.49 rstimulati High c Events	itis lose Total 47 154 201 (P = 0.1 (P = 0.1) lose Total	Lov <u>Eve</u> 0.80); 4)	w dos nts 1 1 35 36 1 ² = 0 ⁴ w dos nts 1	Fotal 47 156 203 %	2.8% 97.2% 100.0% Weight	<u>M-H, I</u> 1.00 0.6 0.7 (Fixed, 95% Cl 0 [0.06, 15.52] 9 [0.43, 1.11] 0 [0.44, 1.12] Risk Ratio Random, 95%	Favours h 0.01 0. Favours h	igh dose Favours low do Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl 1 1 10 igh dose Favours low do	Risk of Bias
Total events Heterogeneity: Not app Fest for overall effect: Risk of bias legend I 7 Diagnosis of chorid Study or Subgroup Kenyon 2013 Kenakis 1995 Fotal (95% CI) Fotal events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0 Fest for overall effect: Risk of bias legend IB Incidence of hyper Study or Subgroup Bidgood 1987	blicable Z = 0.21 oamnion High o Events 1 24 25 0.07, df = Z = 1.49 rstimulati High o Events 7	itis lose Total 47 154 201 (P = 0.1 (P = 0.1) (P = 0.1) lose Total 19	Lov <u>Eve</u> 0.80); 4)	w dos nts 1 35 36 1 ² = 0 ⁴ w dos nts 1 0	Fotal 47 156 203 %	2.8% 97.2% 100.0% <u>Weight</u> 5.7%	<u>M-H, I</u> 1.00 0.6 0.7 (0.7 (M-H, I 16.5	Fixed, 95% Cl) [0.06, 15.52])9 [0.43, 1.11] 0 [0.44, 1.12] Risk Ratio Random, 95% 50 [1.01, 270.7	Favours h 0.01 0. Favours h CI '8]	igh dose Favours low do Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl 1 1 10 igh dose Favours low do Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias
Total events Heterogeneity: Not app Fest for overall effect: Risk of bias legend 7 Diagnosis of chorid Study or Subgroup Kenyon 2013 Kenakis 1995 Fotal (95% CI) Fotal events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0 Fost for overall effect: Risk of bias legend 18 Incidence of hyper Study or Subgroup Bidgood 1987 Jamal 2004	olicable Z = 0.21 oamnion High o Events 1 24 25 0.07, df = Z = 1.49 rstimulati High o Events 7 14	itis lose Total 47 154 201 ($P = 0.1$ ($P = 0.1$ ($P = 0.1$ ($P = 0.1$ 10 lose Total 19 100	Lov <u>Eve</u> 0.80); 4)	w dos nts 1 35 36 1 ² = 0 ⁴ w dos nts 1 0 8	Fotal 47 156 203 % %	2.8% 97.2% 100.0% <u>Weight</u> 5.7% 37.6%	<u>M-H,I</u> 1.00 0.6 0.7 (0.7 (M-H,I 16.5	Fixed, 95% Cl 0 [0.06, 15.52] 9 [0.43, 1.11] 0 [0.44, 1.12] Risk Ratio Random, 95% 50 [1.01, 270.7 1.75 [0.77, 3.9	Favours h 0.01 0. Favours h <u>CI</u> [8]	igh dose Favours low do Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl 1 1 10 igh dose Favours low do Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias
Total events Heterogeneity: Not app Fest for overall effect: Risk of bias leaend 7 Diagnosis of chorid Study or Subgroup Kenyon 2013 Kenakis 1995 Fotal (95% CI) Fotal events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0 Fost for overall effect: Risk of bias leaend 18 Incidence of hyper Study or Subgroup Bidgood 1987 Jamal 2004 Kenyon 2013	blicable Z = 0.21 oamnion High o Events 1 24 25 0.07, df = Z = 1.49 rstimulati High o Events 7	itis lose Total 47 154 201 1 ($P = 0$ ($P = 0.1$ ($P = 0.1$ ($P = 0.1$ 1 ($P = 0.1$) 1 ($P = 0.1$ 1 ($P = 0.1$) 1 ($P =$	Lov <u>Eve</u> 0.80); 4)	w dos nts 1 35 36 1 ² = 0 ⁴ w dos nts 1 0	Total 47 47 156 203 % % % fotal 21 100 47	2.8% 97.2% 100.0% <u>Weight</u> 5.7% 37.6% 26.1%	<u>M-H,I</u> 1.00 0.6 0.7 (0.7 (M-H,I 16.5	Fixed, 95% Cl 0 [0.06, 15.52] 9 [0.43, 1.11] 0 [0.44, 1.12] 0 [0.44, 1.12] 10 [0.44, 1.12] 0 [0.44, 1.12] 0 [0.44, 1.12] 1.12] 1.12] 1.20 [0.39, 3.6]	Favours h 0.01 0. Favours h <u>Cl</u> [8] [9] [6]	igh dose Favours low do Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl 1 1 10 igh dose Favours low do Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias
Total events Heterogeneity: Not app Fest for overall effect: Risk of bias leaend I 7 Diagnosis of chorid Study or Subgroup Kenyon 2013 Kenakis 1995 Fotal (95% CI) Fotal events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0 Fost for overall effect: Risk of bias leaend I 8 Incidence of hyper Study or Subgroup Bidgood 1987 Jamal 2004 Kenyon 2013	olicable Z = 0.21 oamnion High o Events 1 24 25 0.07, df = Z = 1.49 rstimulati High o Events 7 14 6	itis lose Total 47 154 201 1 ($P = 0$ ($P = 0.1$ ion lose Total 19 100 47	Lov <u>Eve</u> 0.80); 4)	w dos nts 1 35 36 1 ² = 0 ⁴ w dos nts 1 0 8 5	Fotal 47 156 203 % %	2.8% 97.2% 100.0% <u>Weight</u> 5.7% 37.6%	<u>M-H, I</u> 1.00 0.6 0.7 (0.7 (16 .5	Fixed, 95% Cl 0 [0.06, 15.52] 9 [0.43, 1.11] 0 [0.44, 1.12] 0 [0.44, 1.12]	Favours h 0.01 0. Favours h CI (8] (9) (6] (8]	igh dose Favours low do Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl 1 1 10 igh dose Favours low do Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias
Total events Heterogeneity: Not app Fest for overall effect: Risk of bias legend I7 Diagnosis of chorid Study or Subgroup Kenyon 2013 Kenakis 1995 Fotal (95% CI) Fotal events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0 Fest for overall effect: Risk of bias legend I8 Incidence of hyper Study or Subgroup Bidgood 1987 Jamal 2004 Kenyon 2013 Kenakis 1995	olicable Z = 0.21 oamnion High o Events 1 24 25 0.07, df = Z = 1.49 rstimulati High o Events 7 14 6	itis lose Total 47 154 201 1 ($P = 0$ ($P = 0.1$ ($P = 0.1$ ($P = 0.1$ 1 ($P = 0.1$) 1 ($P = 0.1$ 1 ($P = 0.1$) 1 ($P =$	Lov <u>Eve</u> 0.80); 4)	w dos nts 1 35 36 1 ² = 0 ⁴ w dos nts 1 0 8 5	Total 47 156 203 % 6e Total 21 100 47 156	2.8% 97.2% 100.0% <u>Weight</u> 5.7% 37.6% 26.1%	<u>M-H, I</u> 1.00 0.6 0.7 (0.7 (16 .5	Fixed, 95% Cl 0 [0.06, 15.52] 9 [0.43, 1.11] 0 [0.44, 1.12] 0 [0.44, 1.12] 10 [0.44, 1.12] 0 [0.44, 1.12] 0 [0.44, 1.12] 1.12] 1.12] 1.20 [0.39, 3.6]	Favours h 0.01 0. Favours h CI (8] (9) (6] (8]	igh dose Favours low do Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl 1 1 10 igh dose Favours low do Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias
Total events Heterogeneity: Not app Fest for overall effect: Risk of bias legend 17 Diagnosis of chorid Study or Subgroup Kenyon 2013 Kenakis 1995 Total (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = (Test for overall effect: Risk of bias legend	olicable Z = 0.21 oamnion High o Events 1 24 25 0.07, df = Z = 1.49 rstimulati High o Events 7 14 6	itis lose Total 47 154 201 (P = 0.1) (P = 0.1) (P = 0.1) lose Total 19 100 47 154	Lov <u>Eve</u> 0.80); 4)	w dos nts 1 35 36 1 ² = 0 ⁴ w dos nts 1 0 8 5	Total 47 156 203 % 6e Total 21 100 47 156	2.8% 97.2% 100.0% <u>Weight</u> 5.7% 37.6% 26.1% 30.5%	<u>M-H, I</u> 1.00 0.6 0.7 (0.7 (16 .5	Fixed, 95% Cl 0 [0.06, 15.52] 9 [0.43, 1.11] 0 [0.44, 1.12] 0 [0.44, 1.12]	Favours h 0.01 0. Favours h CI (8] (9) (6] (8]	igh dose Favours low do Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl 1 1 10 igh dose Favours low do Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias
Total events Heterogeneity: Not app Fest for overall effect: Risk of bias leaend 7 Diagnosis of chorid Study or Subgroup Kenyon 2013 Kenakis 1995 Fotal (95% CI) Fotal events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0 Fost for overall effect: Risk of bias leaend 18 Incidence of hyper Study or Subgroup Bidgood 1987 Jamal 2004 Kenyon 2013 Kenakis 1995 Fotal (95% CI)	olicable Z = 0.21 oamnion High o Events 1 24 25 0.07, df = Z = 1.49 rstimulati High o Events 7 14 6 7 34	itis lose Total 47 154 201 1 (P = 0 (P = 0.1 (P = 0.1) ion lose Total 19 100 47 154 320	Lov Eve ().80); (4) Lov Eve	w dos <u>nts 1</u> 35 36 1 ² = 0 ⁴ w dos <u>nts 1</u> 0 8 5 8 21	Fotal 47 47 156 203 % % % Perform 21 100 47 156 324	2.8% 97.2% 100.0% <u>Weight</u> 5.7% 37.6% 26.1% 30.5% 100.0%	<u>M-H,I</u> 1.00 0.6 0.7 (R <u>M-H,I</u> 16.5	Fixed, 95% Cl 0 [0.06, 15.52] 9 [0.43, 1.11] 0 [0.44, 1.12] 0 [0.44, 1.12]	Favours h 0.01 0. Favours h CI (8] (9) (6] (8]	igh dose Favours low do Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl 1 1 10 igh dose Favours low do Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias

Risk of bias legend