
1 Early use of intravenous oxytocin versus delayed use

1.1 Serious neonatal morbidity or perinatal death

	Experime	ental	Conti	rol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	
Hemminki 1985	0	27	0	30		Not estimable	<u></u>	
Hinshaw 2008	1	208	1	204	100.0%	0.98 [0.06, 15.57]		
Total (95% CI)		235		234	100.0%	0.98 [0.06, 15.57]		
Total events	1		1					
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable					ŀ	0.01 0.1 1 10	100
Test for overall effect:	= 0.99)					ours experimental Favours contr		


Risk of bias legend

1.2 Apgar score less than seven at five minutes



Risk of bias legend

1.3 Neonatal intensive care unit admission



Risk of bias legend


1.4 Uterine hyperstimulation with fetal heart rate changes necessitating intervention

	Experim	ental	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio	Risk F	Ratio	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed	d, 95% CI	
Bidgood 1987	1	40	0	20	9.8%	1.54 [0.07, 36.11]	-	<u> </u>	_
Hinshaw 2008	16	208	6	204	90.2%	2.62 [1.04, 6.55]		_	
Total (95% CI)		248		224	100.0%	2.51 [1.04, 6.05]		•	
Total events	17		6						
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	0.10, df = 1	(P = 0.7)	75); $I^2 = 0$	%			0.01 0.1 1	+	100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.05 (P	r = 0.04				F	0.01 0.1 1 avours experimental	10 Favours contr	100 rol

1.5 Instrumental vaginal delivery


	Experim	Experimental		Control		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% (CI M-H, Random, 95% CI	
Bidgood 1987	17	40	8	20	21.3%	1.06 [0.56, 2.03	3]	
Blanch 1998	3	21	4	20	9.1%	0.71 [0.18, 2.80	0] -	
Dencker 2009	54	314	38	316	28.4%	1.43 [0.97, 2.10	0]	
Hemminki 1985	11	27	3	30	11.3%	4.07 [1.27, 13.0]	7]	→
Hinshaw 2008	47	208	62	204	29.9%	0.74 [0.54, 1.03	3]	
Total (95% CI)		610		590	100.0%	1.17 [0.72, 1.88	8]	
Total events	132		115					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.17; Chi ²	= 12.37,	df = 4 (P	= 0.01); I ² = 68%)	01 02 05 1 2 5	+
Test for overall effect:							0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 Favours experimental Favours contro	10

Risk of bias legend 1.6 Caesarean section




Risk of bias legend

1.7 Emergency caesarean section for fetal distress



Risk of bias legend

1.8 Woman not satisfied (scale)



Oxytocin versus no treatment or delayed treatment for slow progress in the first... 17-Sep-2014

1.9 Woman not satisfied (number of women with negative memories of childbirth)

	Experimental		Control			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		
Dencker 2009	100	233	86	209	100.0%	1.04 [0.84, 1.30]			
Total (95% CI)		233		209	100.0%	1.04 [0.84, 1.30]	•		
Total events	100		86						
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							0.01 0.1 1 10	100	
Test for overall effect:	P = 0.71	1	Favours early oxytocin Favours control						


Risk of bias legend

1.10 Woman not satisfied (number of women saying depressed by childbirth experience)



Risk of bias ledend

1.11 Participation (scale)




Risk of bias legend

1.12 Perceived safety (scale)

	Experimental			Control			Mean Difference			Mean Difference			Risk of E
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, F	ixed, 95% C	I	
Dencker 2009	3.18	0.57	233	3.15	0.63	209	100.0%	0.03 [-0.08, 0.14]					
Total (95% CI)			233			209	100.0%	0.03 [-0.08, 0.14]					
Heterogeneity: Not ap	•	! (P = 0	0.60)					F	-100 avours	-50	0 tall Favour	50	100 bl]

Risk of bias legend

1.13 Postpartum haemorrhage



Oxytocin versus no treatment or delayed treatment for slow progress in the first... 17-Sep-2014

1.14 Time from randomisation to delivery

	Expe	erimen	tal	С	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference	Risk of E
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI	
Blanch 1998	4.4	2.76	21	6.7	3	20	20.7%	-2.30 [-4.07, -0.53]		
Dencker 2009	5.2	2.8	314	6.7	3.2	316	41.7%	-1.50 [-1.97, -1.03]	-	
Hinshaw 2008	6.19	3.01	208	9.1	4.44	204	37.6%	-2.91 [-3.64, -2.18]	-	
Total (95% CI)			543			540	100.0%	-2.20 [-3.29, -1.10]	•	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect	,		,	`	= 0.006	6); I ² = 8	30%	- Fav	-4 -2 0 2 de la composição de la composi	4 trol