## **AMSTAR**

Citation	PICO no.	PICO name	List relevant outcomes	Was meta- analysis performed for the relevant outcomes?	1. Was an 'a priori' design provided?	2. Was there duplicate study selection and data extraction?	3. Was a comprehensive literature search performed?
		Tidl. syst.	Quality of life,		-		
Keshavarz, 2013	1	opsporing	suicide risk	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
		Fysisk	Quality of life,				
Mura, 2014	2	træning	remission rate	no	Yes	No	Yes
			Remission rate,				
			discontinuation,				
Braun, 2013	4	PD vs KAT	response rate	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
			Remission rate,				
			discontinuation,				
Barth, 2013	5	IPT vs KAT	response rate	no	Yes	No	Yes
			Quality of life,				
			suicide risk,				
			remission rate,				
			function,				
Jakobsen, 2012	5	IPT vs KAT	hospitalisation	Yes	Yes	Can't answer	Yes
		Langvarig					
Spijker, 2013	6	depression	Response rate	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
		Langvarig					
Cuijpers, 2010	6	depression	Discontinuation	Yes	Yes	Can't answer	Yes
Galante, 2012	7	Mindfulness	Recidiv	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
		Collaborative					
Archer, 2012	9	care	Remissions rate	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

4. Was the status of publication (i.e. grey literature) used as an inclusion criterion?	5. Was a list of studies (included and excluded) provided?	6. Were the characteristics of the included studies provided?	7. Was the scientific quality of the included studies assessed and documented?	8. Was the scientific quality of the included studies used appropriately in formulating conclusions?	9. Were the methods used to combine the findings of studies appropriate?	10. Was the likelihood of publication bias assessed?	11. Was the conflict of interest included?
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Can't answer	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Can't answer	Yes	Can't answer
No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
No No	No Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	No No
No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes